UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,852	12/26/2006	Lionel Foster	31229-229760	4885
26694 7590 03/20/2009 VENABLE LLP		9	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3438		KRAMER, DEAN J		
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/577,852	FOSTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dean J. Kramer	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ja</u>	nuarv 2009.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· <del></del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·	dication					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)☑ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·= · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority ariable 50 5.5.5. § 115(a)	(4) 51 (1).				
·— <u> </u>						
		on No				
	_ ' ' '					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 992)  4) Unitary Summary (RTO 413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/577,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed January 26, 2009 and the remarks presented therewith have been carefully considered. However, they are not deemed to be fully persuasive.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, the term "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following "preferably" are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catlett (6,840,556) in view of Peterson (2,749,173) and either Kemmler (964,432) or Savedra, Jr. (5,009,558).

Catlett shows a lifting device that substantially shows the invention as set forth in claims 1-4 and 6-12 of the instant application except for a pair of abutment members and its handle means (18,28) are not shown as sloping downwardly with respect to a gripped article.

However, Peterson shows a lifting tool similar to the Catlett device, but Peterson's frame members (4,6) contain first and second abutment members (8,10) that restrict the pivotal movement of the frame members when no upward pressure is being applied thereto (see col. 3, lines 1-10).

Further, both Kemmler and Savedra, Jr. show lifting tools having downwardly sloping handles when engaged with an article being lifted. Such a downward design allows for one or more users to more easily carry a heavy load.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to pivotally attach Catlett's frame members in a manner similar to that shown in Figures 4 and 5 of the Peterson patent so that the frame members would maintain an open configuration even when no force is applied to the handles. Also, it would have been obvious to at least slightly angle Catlett's handles (18,28) downwardly relative to the cylinder being lifted as taught by Kemmler or Savedra, Jr. in order to create a more ergonomic arrangement that would result in better leverage for lifting heavy loads thereby allowing users to carry the load well above the ground while maintaining their hands at a comfortable and more natural position.

Regarding claim 12, Catlett's strips (40) are retained through adhesive. .

Art Unit: 3652

6. Claim 13, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catlett in view of Peterson and either Kemmler or Savedra, Jr. as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Purcell (5,601,324).

Purcell shows a rubber gripping means (42a) retained above a lower lip (46) as best shown in Figure 2a.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to attach the modified Catlett rubber gripping means (40) above a lower lip as taught by Purcell in order to more securely retain such a gripping means to the frame's inner surface.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 21 is allowed.
- 6. Claims 14-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dean J Kramer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652

djk 3/16/09